

DRAFT

PROJECT EVALUATION FORM

1. SPONSOR'S OFFICE:
2. INFORMATION REQUEST DATE:
3. TARGET SITE IDENTIFICATION:
4. PROJECT NUMBER:
5. SOURCE NUMBER:
6. NUMBER OF REPORTS SUBMITTED FOR PROJECT:
7. REPORT IDENTIFICATION NUMBERS AND DATES:
8. REFERENCES:
 - // a. Requirements (Cite) _____
(ICR/CIR/DIRM 3/DIRM 9)
 - // b. Initiative Report
9. REASON FOR EVALUATION (select one)
 - // a. ICR Response
 - // b. Collectors Request
 - // c. Selected by Analyst
10. VALUE OF INFORMATION (select one)
 - // a. Major Significant Value (narrative comment required; include report numbers, specific information in the report which was valueable, and why it was of value)
 - // b. Valueable (narrative comment required; include report numbers, specific information in the report which was valueable, and why it was of value)

WANG SUBJECT: PROJECT EVALUATION

// c. No Value (see Item 12, below)

11. TYPES OF PRODUCTS TO BENEFIT FROM USE OF REPORTED INFORMATION (select one or more and describe how the products will benefit)

// a. Basic Intelligence

// b. Current Intelligence

// c. Estimative Intelligence

// d. S&T Intelligence

12. REASON INFORMATION IS OF NO VALUE (select one only)

// a. Too Fragmentary

// b. Duplicative

// c. Untimely

// d. Not Responsive to Tasking Cited

13. DEGREE OF REQUIREMENT SATISFACTION (select one only)

// a. Completely Satisfied

// b. Partially Satisfied

// c. Not Satisfied At All

14. REMARKS (comments should include but not be limited to recommendations for application of this unique intelligence methodology)

15. DISCLOSURE DATA (select one only)

// a. Releasable Evaluation Not Requested

// b. Evaluation Is Not Releasable

// c. Evaluation Is Releasable on a Need-To-Know Basis

16. EVALUATOR'S NAME:

17. EVALUATOR'S OFFICE SYMBOL:

18. DATE EVALUATED:

19. ORIGINATOR OF REQUEST FOR INFORMATION:

20. (Signature of evaluator) _____